ORIGINAL ARTICLE



UDC: 615.8::[617.3-036.86-037::617.581-036.86-037 DOI:10.2298/VSP130118042V

The A-test – reliability of functional recovery assessment during early rehabilitation of patients in an orthopedic ward

A-test – pouzdanost procene funkcionalnog oporavka bolesnika tokom rane rehabilitacije na ortopedskom odeljenju

Aleksandra Vukomanović*[†], Aleksandar Djurović*[†], Zoran Popović^{†‡}, Dejan Ilić*

*Clinic for Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, [‡]Clinic for Orthopedic Surgery and Traumatology, Military Medical Academy, Belgrade, Serbia; [†]Faculty of Medicine of the Military Medical Academy, University of Defence, Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract

Background/Aim. There are few tests for evaluation of functional abilities of patients surgically treated for hip fractures or osteoarthritis during early rehabilition period. The aim of this study was to investigate reliability (interobserver reproducibility and internal consistency) of the Atest, an original test for functional recovery evaluation during early rehabilitation of patients in an orthopedic ward. Methods. The investigation included 105 patients (55 patients with hip osteoarthritis that underwent arthroplasty and 50 surgically treated patients with hip fracture). It was conducted in an orthopedic ward during early inpatient rehabilitation (from 1st to 5th day). For their functional recovery evaluation during early rehabilitation we used the Atest, a performance-based test with 10 items for assessing basic activities by six level ordinal scale (0-5). For internal consistency of the test the Cronbach coefficient alpha was calculated for the A-test results collected during early rehabilitation for all patients (105 patients x 5 days = 525 measures) and separately for the results of patients with hip osteoarthritis (275 measures) and hip fracture (250 measures).

surgically treated in an orthopedic ward. Key words:

hip fractures; osteoarthritis, hip; hip prosthesis; orthopedic procedures; postoperative period; physical therapy; recovery of function; predictive value of the tests.

Values of this coefficient > 0.7 imply good internal consis-

tency of the test. Interobserver reproducibility was esti-

mated as follows: two physiotherapists together conducted

physical therapy with the patients, and then, separately, rated the performance of each activity from the test (78

measures). The agreement between their estimations was

expressed by the linear weighted kappa coefficient (for very

good agreement values of kappa coefficeent have to be in

the range 0.81–1). **Results.** The Cronbach coefficient alpha

was 0.98 (the results of all the patients and the results of the

patients with hip osteoarthritis) and 0.97 (the results of the patients with hip fracture). The values of kappa coefficient

were in the range 0.81–0.92 for all items. **Conclusion.** The

A-test is a reliable instrument for everyday evaluation of

functional recovery during early rehabilitation of patients

Apstrakt

Uvod/Cilj. Postoji malo testova za procenu funkcionalne osposobljenosti bolesnika hirurški lečenih zbog frakture kuka ili osteoartritisa tokom ranog rehabilitacionog perioda. Cilj ove studije bio je ispitavanje pouzdanosti (interopservacione reproducibilnosti i interne konzistentnosti) A-testa, originalnog testa za procenu funkcionalnog oporavka bolesnika tokom rane rehabilitacije na ortopedskom odeljenju. Metode. Istraživanje je obuhvatilo 105 bolesnika (55 sa osteoartritisom kuka kojima je učinjena artroplastika i 50 sa prelomom kuka koji su lečeni operativno) i sprovedeno je na ortopedskom odeljenju tokom rane rehabilitacije (od 1. do 5. dana). Kao

merni instrument korišćen je A-test (performance based test) sa 10 stavki kojima se procenjuju osnovne aktivnosti uz pomoć šestostepene ordinalne skale (0–5). Za procenu njegove interne konzistentnosti izračunat je Cronbach-ov koeficijent alfa za rezultate prikupljene tokom rane rehabilitacije za sve bolesnike (105 bolesnika × 5 dana = 525 merenja) i posebno za rezultate bolesnika sa operativno lečenom osteoartritisom kuka (275 merenja) i prelomom kuka (250 merenja). Vrednost ovog koeficijenta veća od 0,7 ukazuje na dobru konzistentnost. Interopservaciona reproducibilnost procenjivana je na sledeći način: dva terapeuta zajedno su sprovodili fizikalnu terapiju sa bolesnikom, a zatim su odvojeno ocenjivali izvođenje svake aktivnosti iz testa (78 merenja). Slaganje njihove procene

bilo je izraženo *kappa* koeficijentom (za veoma dobru interopservacionu reproducibilnost vrednosti *kappa* koeficijenta treba da se nalaze u rasponu 0,81-1). **Rezultati.** Izračunata vrednost Cronbach-ovog koeficijenta alfa za rezultate svih bolesnika, kao i za rezultate bolesnika sa osteoartritisom kuka iznosila je 0,98, a za rezultate bolesnika sa prelomom kuka 0,97. Vrednosti *kappa* koeficijenta za sve stavke bile su u rasponu 0,81-0,92. **Zaključak**. A-test jeste pouzdan test za svakodnevno praćenje

funkcionalnog oporavka bolesnika koji su operativno lečeni na ortopedskom odeljenju, tokom ranog perioda rehabilitacije.

Ključne reči:

kuk, prelomi; osteoartritis, kuk; kuk, proteza; ortopedske procedure; postoperativni period; fizikalna terapija; funkcija, povratak; testovi, prognostička vrednost.

Introduction

Early rehabilitation period usually lasts only a few days ¹, and it is particularly present in an orthopedic ward. In this period patients experience greater or lesser degree of functional disability followed by restoration efforts to return to premorbid activity level. Regardless of the short duration, reliable tests for monitoring and evaluating the functional recovery of patients and presenting the results of work are required in the period of early rehabilitation ². However, there are few tests that have been created for the period of early rehabilitation.

The Cumulated Ambulation Score (CAS) with a simple three-level ordinal scale was designed to monitor functional recovery of older people who were surgically treated for hip fractures and in this population it has excellent reliability 3-4 The University of Iowa Level of Assistance Scale (ILAS) 6,7 has a complex seven-level scale and demonstrated moderate reliability in a population of patients who underwent arthroplasty for osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. The existing tests are aimed to specific clinical entities and cannot be applied in another domain without any modification. All three tasks from the CAS are too simple for patients who underwent arthroplasty while some tasks from ILAS are too demanding for patients after surgically treated hip fracture. Thus, in an investigation of factors predictive of independence in transfer and ambulation of patients after hip fracture, only 3 from 5 tasks of the ILAS were used 8. In the absence of a single test that could be easily applied in a heterogeneous population of patients in an orthopedic department we established an original test that could be a solution to this problem. The test was called A-test (simple test and simple name "A" like Assessment or Activity).

We have 10 years of experience with the A-test. We use it in the orthopedic ward to assess the recovery of all patients surgically treated for diseases and injuries of the lower extremities. Unlike the CAS and the ILAS which were designed for estimation of only 3 and 5 activities, respectively, this performance based test consists of 10 items for assessing 9 basic activities and walking endurance by a six-level ordinal scale (0–5). In this way, a more reliable picture of a patient's physical ability is obtained. Total scores can range from 0 to 50 (inability to perform any activity despite the help of therapists until complete independence and safety in performing all activities). We designed the A-test in 2002 and used it initially for monitoring patients of interest for some studies ^{9, 10}. The study population consisted of patients with arthroplasty for

osteoarthritis of the hip in the first study ⁹. In the second study, we observed functional recovery in patients surgically treated for hip fracture ¹⁰. Since 2007, the A-test has been used in routine practice of the rehabilitation team in our Orthopedic Ward. This test has proved to be a useful and practical measuring tool in routine and research work, but we did not have a solid evidence that this test is reliable.

Reliability refers to error of an instrument. Reliability is best represented by reproducibility and internal consistency ¹¹. The aim of this study was to examine the reliability of the A-test through the evaluation of the functional abilities of patients surgically treated for hip fractures and osteoarthritis.

Methods

Subjects

This prospective study was conducted at the Clinic for Orthopedic Surgery and Traumatology (COST), Military Medical Academy, Belgrade, and initially included 120 patients: 60 consecutive patients with acute hip fracture of both sexes who before the injury were able to walk with or without aids and up- and downstairs (help of another person was allowed for this activity; patients with dementia, pathological hip fracture, bilateral hip fractures, concurrent fracture in any other part of the body, and patients to whom surgical treatment were not included), and 60 consecutive patients who underwent hip arthroplasty due to osteoarthritis, without significant mental disability who were able to walk with or without aids before the operation and up- and downstairs (help of another person was allowed for this activity).

Exclusion criteria during the study were the presence of intraoperative or postoperative complications that prevented or delayed the beginning of rehabilitation, lethal outcome immediately after the surgery, and incomplete collected data for individual patient.

Procedure

All the patients were treated surgically. The modality of treatment depended on the type of fracture: osteosynthesis with dynamic hip screw was applied in patients with intertrochanteric fracture, and arthroplasty was performed in patients with fractures of the femoral neck (partial arthroplasty for older than 70 and total arthroplasty for younger than 70). All the patients admitted for arthritis of the hip underwent arthroplasty.

After the surgery, all the patients had the same rehabilitation treatment, which involved early mobilization of the patients at the bedside (from the first postoperative day, un-

less their general condition did not allow it) and activities such as getting out of bed (in accordance with the possibilities of the patients), walking with aids on the flat, as well as up- and downstairs, practising the basic activities of daily living (using the toilet, sitting down in a chair). Daily physical therapy treatment lasted 30 minutes, and it was implemented every day, except at the weekend. The modality of surgery determined allowable weight bearing when walking.

Data on comorbidity and used drugs, mental and functional status before injury for patients with hip fracture or on admission for patients with hip osteoarthritis (walking distance, the ability to walk up- and downstairs, the use of walking aids, carrying out basic and instrumental activities), as well as socio-epidemiological data (marital status, housing conditions) were collected from all the patients. Mental status assessment was made using the Serbian version of shortened mental test score ¹², while the functional status before injury was assessed by the New mobility score (NMS) ¹³.

In the postoperative period, functional abilities assessment of all the patients was performed by the A-test, the ILAS and the CAS from the first day until the fifth day of rehabilitation (this was the day of discharge for the majority of patients).

By the protocol, postoperative complications that slowed down the course of rehabilitation, the number of treatment days and duration of hospitalization after surgery were recorded.

We conducted this research with the approval of the Ethics Committee of our hospital.

Measurement

The A-test is a performance-based test that assesses 10 activities necessary for everyday life that the patient needs to achieve in the first days after the surgeries: (1) turning to side, (2) transition from supine to sitting position, (3) getting out of bed, (4) return back to bed, (5) standing, (6) walking with aids, use of (7) toilet and (8) dining room chairs, (9) walking up- and downstairs, (10) walking endurance.

Depending on the success of performance, the patient is evaluated from 0 to 5 for each activity: 0 – activity is not achieved; 1 – needs full assistance of the physiotherapist; 2 – requires adherence by the physiotherapist; 3 – activity performed with verbal suggestions of therapists; 4 – completely independent but insecure (while performing activities, a patient needs the presence of another person, for example a family member); 5 – fully independent and secure.

Walking endurance is graded in a slightly different way: 0 – activity is not achieved; 1 – a patient walks across the room (up to 5 meters); 2 – a patient walks from 5 to 20 meters; 3 – a patient walks from 20 to 50 meters; 4 – a patient walks from 50 to 100 meters; 5 – a patient walks more than 100 meters.

For ease of walking endurance grading, we had a landmark in the hospital: 0 – activity is not achieved; 1 – a patient walks across the room; 2 – once crosses ward hallway; 3 – two times crosses ward hallway; 4 – once crosses hospital corridor; 5 – several times crosses hospital corridor. The maximum sum is 50, which means that a patient is independent and secure in the performance of all activities envisaged in the early rehabilitation. The test is simple, convenient, does not take additional time and requires no additional equipment.

Reliability

We examined the reliability or the ability of the instrument to measure something twice or more in the same manner, through assessing internal consistency and reproducibility of the A-test.

Internal consistency

Internal consistency is a measure of how homogenous or consistent items in the scale are and it gives us information to what extent they measure the same thing ¹⁰. As an indicator of internal consistency, we calculated the Cronbach coefficient alpha for the A-test results collected during early rehabilitation for all the patients and separately for the results of the patients with hip osteoarthritis and hip fracture. Cronbach alpha coefficient greater than 0.7 was considered feature of good internal consistency ¹⁴.

Reproducibility

There are two forms of reproducibility: interobserver and test-retest 10 .

Test-retest reproducibility or intratester reliability indicates the agreement in measurements over time ¹⁵. This approach assumes that there is no substantial change in the construct being measured between the two occasions ¹⁰. In our case, the A-test evaluates the patient's functional status which changes daily during early rehabilitation (usually improves day by day), so we could not examine this form of reproducibility.

Interobserver reproducibility or intertester reliability is the consistency of measurement when the measurement is performed independently by two or more examiners and indicates the agreement of measurements performed by different examiners. It was tested in the following way: two physiotherapists conducted together physical therapy with the patient, and then, separately, rated the performance of each activity from the test. The first physiotherapist in the team had extensive experience in rehabilitation of patients in the COST (29 years of work experience). Also, the first physiotherapist was involved in collecting data in our previous studies. The A-test form was known to this physiotherapist. Another physiotherapist had 12 years of work experience, but until this research did not work in orthopedic rehabilitation team. The second physiotherapist had no experience in completing the A-test form. We did not organize specific training for the use of the A-test for another therapist. We planned to examine the reliability in the whole population of respondents, one day during each patient's rehabilitation (105 measurements).

In order to compare the reproducibility of the A-test with the CAS and the ILAS, we also examined the reliability of the ILAS and the CAS. There is the same item in all three tests which assesses walking, but with different scales. We considered that the reproducibility of this item, as representative of the test, could be adequately compared with 3 tests by the same methodology.

In addition to assessing the reliability of all tests, physiotherapists were doing their usual job. Thus, we created a situation which corresponds to real everyday work of physiotherapists.

Agreement of the results of each item between the examiners is expressed by kappa coefficient with corresponding 95% confidence interval that was calculated using the linear weighted kappa for ordinal scale ¹⁶. We considered that the discrepancy by one ordinal category was less than the discrepancy by two or more ordinal categories and that was the basis for weighting. Kappa coefficient was evaluated according to widely accepted interpretation by Landis and Koch ¹⁷. The agreement between the examiners is good if the kappa coefficient is between 0.61 and 0.80, very good if the kappa coefficient is between 0.81 and 1.00. Linear weighted kappa was calculated according to the procedure given on the website: http://vassarstats.net/kappa.html.

nologists, 4 patients died in the first days after the surgery (3 patients with hip fracture and one with osteoarthritis of the hip), 3 patients with osteoarthritis had no completely collected data (hospital discharge was performed before the seventh day after surgery).

We did not delay the beginning of early rehabilitation because of complications occurred in other patients like: confusion, gastric complaints, hypotension, urinary tract infection, short-term diarrhea, the occurrence of pressure ulcers in the sacral region and on the feet, vomiting.

Demographic characteristics, comorbidity, mental and functional status before admission (for the patients with hip osteoarthritis) or injury (for the patients with hip fracture), hospital stay and duration of early rehabilitation are shown in Table 1. Due to the large influx of patients in the Orthopedic Ward, patients were discharged relatively quickly, so most patients in both populations had only 5 days for early rehabilitation.

Analyzing all the A-test results collected from the first to the fifth day of rehabilitation, Cronbach alpha coefficient was

Table 1
Demographic characteristics, comorbidity, mental and functional status before admission / injury,
living environment, hospital stay and rehabilitation duration

Dominion	The group of patients with osteoarthritis of hip $(n = 55)$	The group of patients with hip fracture (n = 50) [mean ± SD, median (range) or	
Parameters	[mean \pm SD, median (range) or		
	number (percent)]	number (percent)]	
Age (years)	65 ± 12 ; $53 (32–85)$	$75 \pm 10; 76 (47-89)$	
Female	32 (58%)	37 (74%)	
Number of comorbid diseases	1 ± 1 ; $1 (0-4)$	2 ± 1 ; $2(0-4)$	
Number of used drugs	2 ± 2 ; 2 (0–8)	3 ± 2 ; $3(0-9)$	
Shortened mental test score (Ser-	10 ± 0 ; $10 (10-10)$	9.84 ± 0.51 ; 10 (8–10)	
bian version)			
New Mobility Score	7 ± 2 ; 6 (2–9)	7 ± 2 ; 9 (1–9)	
Limited walking distance	41 (74.5%)	26 (52%)	
Aids when walking	28 (51%)	16 (32%)	
Up and down stairs with difficulty:	51 (93%)	32 (64%)	
Lives in the flat without elevator	18 (33%)	14 (28%)	
Lives alone	7 (13%)	10 (20%)	
Hospital stay (day)	7.44 ± 1.08 , $7(7-12)$	8.52 ± 3.40 , 7 (7–24)	
Rehabilitation (day)	5.25 ± 0.78 , $5(5-10)$	6.20 ± 2.28 , 5 (5–16)	
5 days of rehabilitation	46 (84%)	33 (66%)	

Results

Out of a total of 120 patients included in the study, 15 patients (10 with hip fracture and 5 with osteoarthritis of the hip) were excluded during the study: 2 patients with intertrochanteric fracture due to poor operative stabilization of the fracture and orthopedic surgeon recommendations to rest after surgery, 2 patients with hip fracture due to cardiac disorders and recommendations of cardiologists to delay mobilization, 3 patients (2 with hip fracture and one with osteoarthritis) because of debilitating diarrhea, severe electrolyte imbalances and extreme hypotension, so the physiatrist recommended postponing initiation of early rehabilitation, in 1 patient with hip fracture and with symptoms of pulmonary embolism, early rehabilitation was interrupted in the first days after surgery as recommended by pulmo-

0.98, indicating excellent internal consistency (Table 2). Table 2 presents the results of correlation between all items, as well as between each item and total score. A strong correlation exists between all the items and total score, and the removal of any of the items does not contribute to increasing alpha.

A similar result was obtained when the A-test was used for observation of the patients with hip fracture (n of cases = 250, n of variables = 10, alpha = 0.97) and the patients with osteoarthritis of the hip (n of cases = 275, n of variables = 10, alpha = 0.98).

We planned 105 measurements to test the interobserver reproducibility (one measurement for each patient during rehabilitation), but due to unplanned absence of the second examiner, 78 measurements were done. The agreement between examiners for each of the A-test items is shown in Table 3. The kappa coefficient was 0.81 and

The A-test internal consistency

Table 2

	Reliability analysis – scale (alpha); n of cases = 525, n of variables = 10 Interitem correlations					
	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	0.83	0.61	0.99	0.37	1.61	0.01
			Item-total	statistics		
Items		Scale mean if item deleted	Scale variance if item deleted	Corrected item- total correlation	Squared multiple correlation	Alpha if item deleted
From supine to si- lying	de	17.30	193.56	0.88	0.82	0.98
From supine to si	tting	17.26	194.30	0.94	0.91	0.98
From sitting to standing		17.54	188.25	0.96	0.98	0.97
Standing		17.51	188.07	0.95	0.96	0.97
Back to bed		17.61	188.08	0.96	0.98	0.97
Walking		17.75	188.19	0.95	0.94	0.97
Use of toilet		18.73	188.93	0.85	0.85	0.98
Sit on and get up chair	a	18.39	187.31	0.92	0.89	0.98
Up and down stai	rs	19.36	208.15	0.72	0.63	0.98
Walking endurand Alpha = 0.98	ce	18.34	203.12	0.89 Standardized item	0.83	0.98

Reproducibility of the A-test's 10 items

Table 3

Items	Observed kappa	Std. error	95% confidence interval	
			lower limit	upper limit
From supine to side lying	0.86	0.04	0.79	0.94
From supine to sitting	0.81	0.04	0.73	0.90
From sitting to standing	0.89	0.03	0.84	0.94
Standing	0.88	0.03	0.81	0.94
Back to bed	0.87	0.03	0.81	0.93
Walking	0.86	0.03	0.81	0.93
Use of toilet	0.91	0.03	0.85	0.97
Sit on and get up a chair	0.84	0.04	0.77	0.92
Up and down stairs	0.92	0.04	0.84	1.00
Walking endurance	0.85	0.04	0.78	0.92

higher for all items, indicating very good inter-observer reproducibility.

For the item that assesses walking, kappa coefficient was very high and almost equalized in all three tests (Table 4).

strongly correlated with the total score, it is evident that the correlation magnitude of the item that estimates walking upand downstairs with a total score is slightly lower than the others. This is the most difficult activity in early rehabilita-

Table 4
Reproducibility of items that assess walking with three different scales of the A-test, the University of Iowa
Level of Assistance Scale (ILAS) and the Cumulated Ambulation Score(CAS)

Tests (score range)	Observed	Std. error	95% confidence interval	
	kappa	Std. error	lower limit	upper limit
1. A-test (0–5)	0.86	0.03	0.81	0.93
2. ILAS (0–6)	0.86	0.03	0.80	0.91
3. CAS (0–2)	0.88	0.04	0.79	0.97

Discussion

This study investigated the reliability of the A-test in the assessment of functional recovery of patients treated surgically due to hip fracture and osteoarthritis in an orthopedic department. Internal consistency analysis is an integral part of estimating the test reliability ^{11, 18, 19}. According to the Cronbach alpha values, the A-test has excellent internal consistency (alpha = 0.98). Although all the A-test items

tion program. A large percentage of patients live in an apartment with no elevator so this activity becomes an important criterion of whether a patient can be discharged home from the hospital. Therefore, it is important that estimation of walking up- and downstairs is an integral part of the Atest. However, the analysis shows that, by removing this item, alpha does not increase.

Kappa coefficient is an appropriate measure of reliability for data from an ordinal scale ¹⁶. In our study, kappa coeffi-

cients were greater than 0.81 for all items. We expected high reliability due to the results of the study that was conducted in 2003 when we also examined one aspect of reliability (interobserver reproducibility) of the A-test. Then we calculated the correlation between the results of 80 measurements that were performed by two therapists in a population of patients with hip arthroplasty for osteoarthritis. Based on the obtained values of the correlation coefficient (r = 0.99), we concluded that the A-test had good interobserver reliability.

The results of this study were presented at the 14th European Congress of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine (Vienna, 2004) but only in the form of abstract ²⁰. However, a disadvantage of this study is that we used the Pearson's correlation coefficient for statistical analysis and presentation of the results. In addition, we did not examine another form of reliability – internal consistency.

Now we find that interobserver reproducibility of the A-test is very good (kappa coefficient was 0.81-0.92). By interpretation of Landis and Koch, the A-test is found in the same gradation of reproducibility as the CAS, which has the simplest scale and strong evidence of highly reliable test for recovery assessment of elderly patients with hip fracture ⁵. In their intertester reliability study of the CAS, kappa coefficient was very high for all three items (0.92–0.97)⁵. On the other hand, the results of reliability for the A-test in this study seem to have the advantage over moderate interobserver reliability of the ILAS which was demonstrated in patients after hip and knee arthroplasty (0.48–0.78) ⁷. The scale of the A-test is simpler than the scale of the ILAS and slightly more complex than the scale of the CAS which could partly explain the differences in reliability obtained in these three studies. However, it is known that Cohen's kappa, weighted for ordinal data does not allow comparability between studies and scales 21. That is why we have selected an item that assessed walking. This activity is assessed in all three tests, but with different scales. Interestingly, the agreement between the two examiners was not much affected by the complexity of the scale and kappa coefficient was almost the same for all three tests.

There are methodological limitations in this paper that we emphasize on this occasion. In analyzing the data, we calculated Cronbach's alpha. Due to the nature of the data obtained by the A-test measuring, this is not the most appropriate statistical method ²¹. However, this indicator of reliability is requested in estimates used to determine whether a test was examined adequately from all aspects ^{11, 18, 19}. This was why we showed it in this paper. Also, in examining interobserver reliability we limited our study to the assessment of only one pair of examiners. We were not able to avoid this

limitation of the study in the situation when the research was adjusted with the possibilities of routine work in the department. However, the results showed that estimates agreement of an experienced therapist and other therapists with no special preparation for the use of the A-test was very good. This situation corresponds to a real everyday practice for which we recommend the A-test.

Patients with various injuries and diseases of the lower extremities are treated surgically in an orthopedic ward of a general hospital. But, after surgery, they have a similar form of physical disability by International classification of functioning, disability and health (ICF). Therefore, there is a need for a single test that would facilitate functional recovery monitoring of patients in an orthopedic ward. Two large groups of patients with surgical treatment distinguish in the heterogeneous population of patients in our Orthopedic Department: patients with hip fracture and patients with hip osteoarthritis. We chose this mixed study population to test reliability of the A-test because we wanted to show that the A-test could be a reliable tool in this situation. Instead of using separate tests for different clinical entities, one can use a single test that is reliable in both cases.

Each test should be evaluated from several aspects ² and we are preparing the results of validity, diagnostic test accuracy and practical applicability of the A-test in this same study population. However, we believe that future research should focus on other clinical entities present in an orthopedic ward. Moreover, the recovery of every patient who experienced sudden functional disability due to illness or injury could be monitored by the A-test during early rehabilitation. This year, we started to use the A-test outside the orthopedic department. Future research could be focused on the usefulness of this test in early rehabilitation of patients in departments of neurology, neurosurgery, cardiology and cardiac surgery, plastic and vascular surgery.

Conclusion

This study showed that the A-test could be a reliable instrument for monitoring functional recovery of patients surgically treated for hip fractures and osteoarthritis of the hip during early rehabilitation in an orthopedic ward.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Miodrag Čolić, Ph.D., Academician, the Dean of the Faculty of Medicine of the Military Medical Academy, University of Defence, Belgrade for making comments on the manuscript and Ljiljana Mihajlovic, PT, and Slađana Zec, PT, for their assistance in data collection.

REFERENCES

- Stucki G, Stier-Jarmer M, Grill E, Melvin J. Rationale and principles of early rehabilitation care after an acute injury or illness. Disabil Rehabil 2005; 27(7–8): 353–9.
- Küçükdeveci A, Tennant A, Grimby G, Franchignoni F. Strategies for assessment and outcome measurement in Physical and Re-
- habilitation Medicine: An educational review. J Rehabil Med 2011; 43(8): 661–72.
- Foss NB, Kristensen MT, Kehlet H. Prediction of postoperative morbidity, mortality and rehabilitation in hip fracture patients: the cumulated ambulation score. Clin Rehabil 2006; 20(8): 701–8.

- Kristensen M, Bandholm T, Foss N, Ekdahl C, Kehlet H. High inter-tester reliability of the new mobility score in patients with hip fracture. J Rehabil Med 2008; 40(7): 589–91.
- Kristensen MT, Andersen L, Bech-Jensen R, Moos M, Hovmand B, Ekdahl C, et al. High intertester reliability of the Cumulated Ambulation Score for the evaluation of basic mobility in patients with hip fracture. Clin Rehabil 2009; 23(12): 1116–23.
- Shields RK, Leo KC, Miller B, Dostal WF, Barr R. An acute care physical therapy clinical practice database for outcomes research. Phys Ther 1994; 74(5): 463-70.
- Shields RK, Enloe LJ, Evans RE, Smith KB, Steckel SD. Reliability, validity, and responsivenees of functional tests in patients with total joint replacement. Phys Ther 1995; 75(3): 169–76, discussion 176–9.
- Duke RG, Keating JL. An investigation of factors predictive of independence in transfers and ambulation after hip fracture. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2002; 83(2): 158–64.
- Vukomanovic A, Popovic Z, Djurovic A, Krstic L. The effects of short-term preoperative physical therapy and education on early functional recovery of patients younger than 70 undergoing total hip arthroplasty. Vojnosanit Pregl 2008; 65(4): 291-7.
- Vukomanović A, Luković G, Aničić S, Gavrilović D, Pejović V, Krstić
 LJ. Whether the type of fracture affects early rehabilitation after surgical treatment of hip fractures? Balneoclimatologia 2008; 32(Suppl 1): 53–4. (Serbian)
- Norvell DC, Dettori JR, Suk M. What makes a quality outcomes instruments. In: Suk M, Hanson BP, Norvell DC, Mu HD, editors. Musculosceletal outcomes measures and instruments. 2nd ed. New York: Thieme; 2009. p. 7–25.
- Hodkinson HM. Evaluation of a mental test score for assessment of mental impairment in the elderly. Age Ageing 1972; 1(4): 233–8.

- Parker MJ, Palmer CR. A new mobility score for predicting mortality after hip fracture. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1993; 75(5): 797–8
- Pallant J. SPPS Survival manual: A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis Using SPPS for Windows (Version 15), 3rd ed. Sydney: Allen & Unwin; 2007.
- Task Force on Standards for Measurement in Physical Therapy. Standards for tests and measurements in physical therapy practice. Phys Ther 1991; 71(8): 589–622.
- Sim J, Wright CC. The kappa statistics in reliability studies: use, interpretation, and sample size requirements. Phys Ther 2005; 85(3): 257–68.
- 17. Landis RJ, Koch GG. The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data. Biometrics 1977; 33(1): 159–74.
- Terwee CB, Mokkink LB, Steultjens MP, Dekker J. Performance-based methods for measuring the physical function of patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: a systematic review of measurement properties. Rheumatology 2006; 45(7): 890-902.
- McDowell I. Measuring health. A quide to rating scales and questionnaires. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006
- Vukomanovic A, Plavsic A. The interrater reliability of A-test at patients with total hip arthroplasty (abstract). Final Programme and Abstracts of the 14th European Congress of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine; Vienna, Austria; 2004 May 12–14. Vienna: Rubidruck; 2004. p. 91
- Svensson E. Guidelines to statistical evaluation of data from rating scales and questionnaires. J Rehabil Med 2001; 33(1): 47–8.

Received on January 18, 2013. Revised on April 17, 2013. Accepted on April 22, 2013. OnLine-First August, 2013.